

REPORT ON THE COMPLIANCE INSPECTION OF THE LAW DEVELOPMENT CENTRE FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2023/2024

.

DECEMBER 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACRONYMSii |
|--|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION |
| 1.1 Structure of the Entity |
| 1.2 Background |
| 1.3 Objectives of the Compliance Inspection |
| 1.4 Inspection Scope |
| 1.5 Methodology |
| CHAPTER 2: COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FINDINGS |
| 2.1 Level of compliance by the PDE with the general provisions of the PPDA Act Cap 205 and Regulations, 2023 with regard to the performance of the procurement structures and conduct of procurement processes |
| 2.2 Assess the degree of compliance of the Entity's disposal process with the provisions of the PPDA Act, Cap 205 and Disposal Regulations, 2023 |
| 2.2 Assess the level of efficiency and effectiveness in contract implementation including the application of Environmental, Social, Health and Safety (ESHS) Requirements in the procurement process |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1: List of the Contracts Committee members | 1 |
|--|----|
| Table 2: Procurements without specifications | 3 |
| Table 3: Procurements with unauthorised display of the Best Evaluated Bidder Notices | 4 |
| Table 4: Procurements approved where the Contracts Committee had no quorum | 4 |
| Table 5: Status of Implementation of previous audit recommendation | 5 |
| Table 6: Procurement Plan Implementation Rate | 6 |
| Table 7: Procurements conducted outside the consolidated procurement plan | 6 |
| Table 8: Procurements with discrepancy between the planned and initialed values | 7 |
| Table 9: Procurement with delays in the procurement process | 8 |
| Table 10: Procurements with low bidder participation | 9 |
| Table 11: Procurements with missing records | 9 |
| Table 12: Summary of performance of the Law Development Centre | 12 |
| Table 13: Risk rating is as follows: | 12 |
| | |

ACRONYMS

r

- Accounting Officer Contracts Committee AO
- CC
- EC **Evaluation** Committee
- FY Financial Year
- Best Evaluated Bidder BEB
- Procuring and Disposing Entity Procurement and Disposal Unit PDE
- PDU
- Head, Procurement and Disposal Unit HPDU
- Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Authority PPDA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Authority (PPDA) carried out a compliance inspection of the Law Development Centre that covered a representative sample of 10 procurement transactions under Financial Year 2023/24.

The overall objective of the inspection is to ensure that procurement and disposal activities have been conducted in accordance with the laid down public procurement procedures enshrined in the PPDA Act Cap. 205, the PPDA Regulations, 2023 and the PPDA Guidelines, 2024.

From the findings of the compliance inspection exercise, the summary performance of the Entity revealed an aggregate risk rating 29.8% which is Satisfactory performance as per the ranking in table 13 of the attached report.

Despite the satisfactory performance, the following exceptions were noted:

- Failure by the User Department to propose detailed technical specifications at initiation in four procurements worth UGX 615,454,032 contrary to Section 64 of the PPDA Act Cap, 205 hence procuring items that may not meet user expectations;
- Conducting three procurements worth UGX 361,849,358 outside the approved procurement, plan contrary to Section 60 (10) of the PPDA Act Cap, 205 which may lead to creation of domestic arrears;
- 3. Delays in the procurement processes in three procurements worth UGX 336,870,176, contrary to Section 51 of the PPDA, Act Cap. 205 which leads to delays in service delivery;
- Failure to prepare a disposal plan for Financial Year 2023/24 as required under Regulation 2

 of the PPDA (Disposal of Public Assets) Regulations, 2023 which increases costs and depreciation of assets; and
- Delayed supply and installation of the conference system worth UGX 41,709,932, whereas the contract was signed on 4th July 2024 and completion expected within one month, items were not delivered until 12th August 2024 hence delayed service delivery by seven (7) working days.

The Authority recommends that:

- The Accounting Officer should only approve procurement Form 5 requisitions with detailed technical specifications or statements of requirements in accordance with Regulation 3 (2) (a) of the PPDA (Rules and Methods for Procurement of Supplies, Works, and Non-Consultancy Services) Regulations, 2023;
- 2. The Head, Procurement and Disposal Unit should update the procurement plan on a quarterly basis in accordance with Section 60 (7) of the PPDA Act Cap, 205;
- 3. The Accounting Officer should ensure that procurements are undertaken in a manner that enhances efficiency as required under Section 51 of the PPDA Act Cap, 205;
- 4. The Accounting Officer should task the Head, Procurement and Disposal Unit to prepare a disposal plan in accordance with Regulation 2 (l) of the PPDA (Disposal of Public Assets) Regulations, 2023 to help in planning and disposing of obsolete items; and
- The Accounting Officer should task Contract Managers to monitor contract performance and ensure that providers execute all their contractual obligations within the contractual completion period specified in the contract in accordance with Regulation 52 (1) (b) of the PPDA (Contracts) Regulations 2023.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Structure of the Entity

r

Law Development Centre's mandate is to provide legal education to lawyers and non-lawyers, undertake research in topical legal issues, contribute to legal reforms, produce legal publications, teaching materials and law reports, and also provide community legal services.

According to Section 28 of the PPDA Act Cap. 205, the Accounting Officer has the overall responsibility for the successful execution of procurement, disposal and contract management in a Procuring and Disposing Entity. The Accounting Officer of the Law Development Centre during the Financial Year 2023/24 was Mr. Frank Nigel Othembo.

The PS/ST of Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development approved the following members of the Contracts Committee listed in Table 1 below who also acted during the period under review:

| No: | Name | Committee Position | Position in the Entity |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. | Mr. Samuel Ejoku Oonyu | Chairperson | Head of subject |
| 2. | Ms. Masendi Nancy | Secretary | Senior Legal Officer |
| 3. | Ms. Akello Mary | Member | Marketing Officer |
| 4. | Ms. Nabirye Susan | Member | Senior Legal Officer |
| 5. | Ms. Grace Kyamazima | Member | Liberian |

Table 1: List of the Contracts Committee Members

According to Section 33 of the PPDA Act Cap. 205, all procurement or disposal activities of the Procuring and Disposing Entity except adjudication and the award of contract are to be managed by the Procurement and Disposal Unit. The Procurement and Disposal Unit during the financial year under review was headed by Ms. Harriet Aber.

1.2 Background

PPDA carried out the procurement and disposal inspection of the Law the Development Centre that covered a representative sample of 10 procurement transactions under Financial Year 2023/24. The inspection involved a review of procurement structures, procurement and asset disposal processes, as well as contract performance following the provisions of the PPDA Act Cap. 205 and PPDA Regulations, 2023.

1.3 Objectives of the Compliance Inspection

The overall objective of the procurement and disposal inspection was to assess and establish the degree of compliance of the Law Development Centre's procurement system and processes with the provisions of the PPDA Act Cap. 205 and Regulations, 2014 and 2023 and assess the level of procurement performance over the inspection period.

The specific objectives were to:

- 1. Establish the level of compliance by the Entity with the general provisions of the PPDA Act Cap. 205 and Regulations, 2014 and 2023 with regard to the performance of the procurement structures and conduct of procurement and disposal processes.
- 2. Assess the degree of compliance of the Entity' disposal process with the provisions of the PPDA Act Cap. 205 and Regulations, 2014 and 2023.
- Assess the level of efficiency and effectiveness in contract implementation including the application of Environmental, Social, Health and Safety (ESHS) Requirements in the procurement process.

1.4 Inspection Scope

The inspection involved a review of the procurement and disposal process, general compliance issues and contract implementation on sample basis. The inspection covered a representative sample of 10 procurement transactions under the Financial Year 2023/24. The list of sampled transactions is contained in Annex A.

1.5 Methodology

The Law Development Centre was notified about the upcoming Inspection on 23rd September, 2024 and commenced on 1st October 2024. To prepare for the Compliance Inspection, the auditors reviewed the Entity's budget, procurement plan and monthly reports.

The inspection team examined records and documents for each sampled procurement transaction and/or disposal and obtained the relevant evidence to derive inspection conclusions. This involved a review of the Entity's procurement/disposal planning, initiation, bidding, evaluation, contract placement and processes.

A debrief meeting to clear all pending issues that arose during the inspection was held with the Entity's management and staff on 7th October 2024 before the inspection team could embark on preparation of the Management Letter. The inspection team prepared the Management Letter, which was sent to the Entity on 21st October 2024 with a request to submit a management response by 28th October 2024, which was submitted on the same date.

CHAPTER 2: COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FINDINGS

2.1 Level of compliance by the PDE with the general provisions of the PPDA Act Cap 205 and Regulations, 2023 with regard to the performance of the procurement structures and conduct of procurement processes

2.1.1 Lack of technical specifications at initiation by User Departments

The Authority noted that in four procurements worth UGX 615,454,032, User Departments did not attach detailed technical specifications at initiation of several procurements contrary to Section 37 (b) of the PPDA Act Cap, 205. The procurements are listed in Table 2 below:

| No: | Subject of Procurement | Contract Amount (UGX) |
|-----|---|-----------------------|
| 1. | Procurement of Desktops and Laptops Computers for staff | 91,573,900 |
| 2. | Supply and installation of conference system | 41,709,932 |
| 3. | Staff furniture | 299,164,000 |
| 4. | Supply and Installation of a generator for Lira | 183,006,200 |
| | Total | 615,454,032 |

Table 2: Procurements without specifications

Risk Implication

This exposes the Entity to the risk of mis procurements.

Management Response

User Departments usually prepared specifications which were not attached on the Form 5 but used to prepare bidding documents and market survey assessment.

We regret the anomaly and pledge to ensure all form 5 have specifications attached.

Recommendation

The Accounting Officer should only approve procurement Form 5 requisitions with detailed technical specifications or statements of requirements in accordance with Section 64 of the PPDA Act Cap. 205.

2.1.2 Unauthorised display of the Best Evaluated Bidder Notices

The Authority noted that in five procurements worth UGX 3,692,188,469, the Head, Procurement and Disposal Unit displayed Notices of the Best Evaluated Bidder without the Accounting Officer's approval and with no delegation of powers. The Accounting Officer signed the Notices of the Best Evaluated Bidder after they had been displayed contrary to Section 28(1) (e) of the PPDA Act. Cap 205 as detailed in Table 3 below:

| No: | Subject of Procurement | Display date | Accounting Officer signature date |
|-----|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. | Renovation of Buildings at LDC Kampala Campus (Firm rooms, main hall, library, auditorium and main building UGX 295,160,244 | 18 th December 2023 | 22 nd December 2023 |
| 2. | Fumigation Services of LDC Campuses UGX 19,293,000 | 5 th April 2024 | 10 th April 2024 |
| 3. | Staff furniture UGX 299,164,000 | 15th January 2024 | 19th January 2024 |
| 4. | Supply and Installation of a generator for Lira UGX 183,006,200 | 29 th August 2023 | 1 st September 2023 |
| 5. | Procurement of Rehabilitation of Access Road, Gardens and Landscaping play grounds UGX 2,895,565,025 | 15 th January 2024 | 19 th January 2024 |

Table 3: Procurements with unauthorised display of the Best Evaluated Bidder Notices

Risk Implication

This affects accountability in the procurement process.

Management Response

Procurement and Disposal Unit usually prepared Best Evaluated Bidders Notices with dates however the Accounting Officer often signed them after the display date.

We regret the anomaly and pledge to ensure all BEBs are signed on the display date.

Recommendation

The Accounting Officer should ensure that the Best Evaluated Bidder Notices are signed before the display date in accordance with Section 28 (1) (e) of the PPDA Act Cap. 205.

2.1.3 Failure to have Quorum at the Contracts Committee Meetings

The Authority noted that in two procurements worth UGX 594,324,24 approval of the solicitation document, shortlist and Evaluation Committee members was done by only the Secretary Contracts Committee Ms. Nancy Masendi contrary to Regulation 7 (2) of the PPDA (Procuring and Disposing Entities) Regulations, 2023 which provides that the quorum for a meeting of the Contracts Committee shall be any three members present at a meeting. The procurements are listed in Table 4 below:

Table 4: Procurements approved where the Contracts Committee had no quorum

| No: | Subject of Procurement | Amount (UGX) | |
|-----|---|--------------|--|
| 1. | Renovation of Buildings at LDC Kampala Campus (Firm rooms, main hall, library, auditorium and main building | 295,160,244 | |

Page 4 of 18

| No: | No: Subject of Procurement Amount (UC | |
|-------|--|-------------|
| 2. | Procurement of staff furniture 299,164,000 | |
| Total | | 594,324,244 |

Risk Implication

30

The Contracts Committee decisions done without quorum are null and void.

Management Response

Contract Committee meetings always had quorum however minutes produced were always fully signed in the next sitting although not replaced in the respective files. We regret and pledge to ensure all files have fully signed minutes.

Recommendation

The Accounting Officer should prevail over the Chairperson of the Contracts Committee to ensure that submissions to the Contracts Committee are approved after adjudication by a minimum quorum of three members in accordance with Regulation 7 (2) of the PPDA (Procuring and Disposing Entities) Regulations, 2023.

2.1.4 Failure to implement all the previous PPDA recommendations

The Authority noted that the Entity did not fully implement all previous PPDA recommendations. Out of the seven recommendations made, five were fully implemented, one was partially implemented while one was not implemented as indicated in the Table 5 below:

| No: | Recommendation | Status of Implementation |
|-----|--|--------------------------|
| 1. | The Accounting Officer should regularly monitor the implementation of the planned activities and where necessary update the procurement plan in accordance with Section 58 (4) of the PPDA Act, 2003 to ensure | Not Implemented |
| 2. | improved performance. The Accounting Officer should ensure that the delays in Partially procurement at various stages are addressed in accordance with implemented Section 48 of the PPDA | Partially implemented |
| | Act, 2003. Partially implemented | |

Table 5: Status of Implementation of Previous Audit Recommendation

Risk Implication

Failure to fully implement audit recommendations affects the performance of the procurement function in the Entity.

Management Response

We regret and pledge to improve

Page 5 of 18

Recommendation

The Accounting Officer should ensure that all recommendations are implemented so as to improve the Entity's performance on the procurement function.

9

2.1.5 Procurement plan implementation

The Entity implemented only 88% of its planned procurement activities during the period under review. Procurements worth UGX 8,115,179,980 were implemented out of UGX 9,161,864,560 planned for the Financial Year 2023/24 as summarized in Table 6 below:

Table 6: Procurement Plan Implementation Rate

| Analysis of procurement spend | FY 2023/2024 | |
|---|---------------|--|
| Total procurement plan value inclusive of VAT (UGX) | 9,161,864,560 | |
| Total procurement spend value inclusive of VAT (UGX) | 8,115,179,980 | |
| Procurement plan implementation rate (%) | 88% | |
| Implementation variance (UGX) | 1,046,684,580 | |

Risk Implication

Failure to fully implement the procurement plan affects service delivery.

Management Response

We regret and pledge to ensure the procurement plan is undated on a quarterly basis and implemented 100%.

Recommendation

The Accounting Officer and Management should regularly carry out a review of the implementation of the procurement plan to ensure improved performance in accordance with Section 60 (7) of the PPDA Act Cap 205.

2.1.6 Conducting procurements outside the approved procurement plan

The Contracts Committee approved three procurements worth UGX 361,849,358 and yet these were not on the Entity's consolidated procurement plan for the Financial Year under review contrary to Section 60 (10) of the PPDA Act 205. The procurements are listed in Table 7 below:

| No: | Subject of Procurement | Amount (UGX) |
|-----|--|--------------|
| 1. | Fumigation Services of LDC Campuses | 19,293,000 |
| 2. | Generator for Lira campus | 183,006,200 |
| 3. | Partitioning of Legal Aid Clinic Lira campus | 159,550,158 |
| | Total | 361,849,358 |

Table 7: Procurements conducted outside the consolidated procurement plan

Risk Implications

Unplanned procurements may lead to creation of domestic arrears.

Page 6 of 18

Management Response

5

We regret and pledge to improve as well as ensure the procurement plan is undated on a quarterly basis.

Recommendations

- The Accounting Officer should always monitor procurement plan implementation to ensure full and timely implementation of planned procurement activities.
- The Head, Procurement and Disposal Unit should update the procurement plan on a quarterly basis in accordance with Section 60 (7) of the PPDA Act Cap, 205.

2.1.7 Discrepancy between values for planned procurements and for initiated procurements on Form 5

The Authority noted that initiated values in Form 5 of the sampled procurements worth UGX. 493,626,138 were higher than the values in the procurement plan by UGX. 482,000,000 without approval of additional funding as indicated below in Table 8 below:

| No: | Subject of | Value in | Value in Form 5 | Discrepancy in Value |
|-----|---|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Procurement | procurement plan (UGX) | (UGX) | (UGX) |
| 1. | Procurement of Desktops and Laptops Computers for staff | 90,000,000 | 91,633,058 | 1,633,058 |
| 2. | Procurement of Stationery | 200,000,000 | 210,000,000 | 10,000,000 |
| 3. | Procurement of Toiletries | 192,000,000 | 191,993,080 | 6,920 |
| 4. | Venue for Management Committee Retreat | 30,000,000 | 34,200,000 | 4,200,000 |
| | Total | 512,000,000 | 527,826,138 | 15,839,978 |

Table 8: Procurements with discrepancy between the planned and initialed values

Risk Implication

This leads into increased costs hence budget over runs.

Management Response

We regret and pledge to ensue market survey is done at budgeting stage as well as the procurement plan is undated on a quarterly basis.

Page **7** of **18**

Recommendation

The Head, Procurement and Disposal Unit should update the procurement plan on a quarterly basis in accordance with Section 60 (7) of the PPDA Act Cap 205.

5

2.1.8 Delays in the procurement process

The Authority noted that in two procurements worth UGX 336,870,176, there were delays in the procurement processes contrary to Section 51 of the PPDA, Act Cap. 205 as detailed in Table 9 below:

| No: | Subject of Procurement | Finding | Management Response |
|-----|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. | Renovation of Buildings at LDC Kampala Campus (Firm rooms, main hall, library, auditorium and main building) at UGX 295,160,244 | The Entity took 15 working days to write to the Solicitor General for approval of the contract. The contract was awarded on 14 th December 2023 but the Entity wrote to the Solicitor General on 10 th January 2024. | We regret and pledge to improve. |
| 2. | Supply and installation of conference system at UGX 41,709,932 | The Accounting Officer took 13 working days to approve availability of funding. The procurement was initiated on 15 th March 2024 and the Accounting Officer approved it on 4 th April 2024. | We regret and pledge to improve. |
| | Total UGX 336,870,176 | | |

| Table | 9: Procu | rement | with | delays | in | the | procu | rem | ent | process | |
|-------|----------|--------|------|--------|----|-----|-------|-----|-----|---------|--|
| | 0.11 | | | | | | | - | | | |

Risk Implication

Inefficiency in procurement processes leads to delays in service delivery.

Recommendation

The Accounting Officer should ensure that procurements are undertaken in a manner that enhances efficiency in accordance with Section 51 of the PPDA Act Cap, 205.

Page **8** of **18**

2.1.9 Low bidder participation

5

The Entity failed to obtain adequate competition in three procurements worth UGX 389,132,000 contrary to Section 49 of the PPDA Act Cap. 205 that requires the Entity to conduct procurements in a manner that maximizes competition and achieves value for money. The procurements are listed in 10 Table below:

| No: | Subject of Procurement | Amount (UGX) | Bids Received |
|-----|---|---------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Renovation of Buildings at LDC Kampala Campus (Firm rooms, main hall, library, auditorium and main building) | 295,160,244 | 2 |
| 2. | Venue for Management Committee Retreat | 29,330,638.10 | 1 |
| | Total | 324,490,882 | |

| Table 10: Procurements with low bidder pa | rticipation |
|---|-------------|
|---|-------------|

Risk Implications

- This could be a sign of bidder collusion, lack of information to bidders and subjective award of tenders.
- This could also be a sign of possible loss of confidence by bidders in the procurement processes
 of the Entity due to issues in the Entity such as restrictive requirements introduced after contract
 award and failure by members of the Evaluation Committees to adhere to the set criteria thus
 failure to obtain competitive offers.

Management Response

We regret and pledge to improve. The Entity pledges to expand shortlists of bidders as well as get other alternative ways of reaching the bidders.

Recommendation

The Accounting Officer should cause an investigation into the issues that are leading to the low turn up of the bidders whose findings will inform the Entity's next course of action.

2.1.10 Poor Records Management

The Authority noted that in three procurements worth UGX 3,239,348,907, there were missing records on file contrary to Section 44 (1) of the PPDA Act. Cap. 205 as listed in the Table 11 below:

| No: | Subject of Procurement | Amount | Missing Document |
|-----|---|--------|--|
| 1. | Renovation of Buildings at LDC Kampala Campus (Firm rooms, main hall, library, auditorium and main building) | | Completion certificatePayment Records |

Table 11: Procurements with Missing Records

| No: | Subject of Procurement | Amount | Missing Document | | |
|-----|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| 2. | Fumigation Services of LDC Campuses | 19,293,000 | Contract completion certificate Payment certificate | | |
| 3. | Venue for Management Committee Retreat | 29,330,638.10 | Completion certificate | | |
| | Total | 3,239,348,907 | | | |

S.

Risk Implication

Missing documents are an indicator of weak internal controls on record keeping by the Entity and raises doubt on the level of transparency and accountability in the Entity.

Management Response

Contract Managers always prepared performance reports for goods and non- consultancy services and completion certificates were only prepared for works project. We regret and pledge to ensure all procurements have completion certificates.

Attached are payment records for the selected procurements. (Renovation of buildings and venue for management committee retreat).

Authority's Comment

The Authority noted the Entity's response and maintains the query since the documents were not submitted for review.

Recommendation

The Head, Procurement and Disposal Unit should maintain and archive all documents pertaining to a particular procurement on their respective files in accordance with Section 44 (1) of the PPDA Act, Cap. 205.

2.2 Assess the degree of compliance of the Entity's disposal process with the provisions of the PPDA Act, Cap 205 and Disposal Regulations, 2023.

2.2.1 Failure to prepare a disposal plan

The Authority noted that the Entity did not prepare and submit a disposal plan for the year 2023/2024 as required under Regulation 2 (l) of the PPDA (Disposal of Public Assets) Regulations, 2023.

Risk Implication

This increases the costs of holding items, theft and depreciation.

Management Response

We regret and pledge to improve.

Page 10 of 18

Recommendation

3

The Accounting Officer should task the Head, Procurement and Disposal Unit to prepare a disposal plan to help in planning and disposing of obsolete items in accordance with Regulation 2 (l) of the PPDA (Disposal of Public Assets) Regulations, 2023.

2.3 Assess the level of efficiency and effectiveness in contract implementation including the application of Environmental, Social, Health and Safety (ESHS) Requirements in the procurement process.

2.3.1 Delayed service delivery

In the procurement for supply and installation of conference system worth UGX 41,709,932, the provider delayed by 7 working days to deliver the item. Whereas the contract was signed on 4th July 2024 and completion expected within one month, items were not delivered until 12th August 2024.

Risk Implication

This leads to delayed service delivery and failure to attain value for money.

Management Response

We regret and pledge to improve by ensuring contracts are strictly monitored.

Recommendation

The Accounting Officer should task Contract Managers to monitor contract performance and ensure that providers execute all their contractual obligations within the contractual completion period specified in the contract accordance with Regulation 52 (1) (b) of the PPDA (Contracts) Regulations 2023.

CHAPTER THREE: OVERVIEW OF THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ENTITY

This section will present the scores per area assessed under different inspection questions

3.1. **Overall Compliance Inspection Conclusion**

The performance of the Law Development Centre for the Financial Year 2023/24 was Satisfactory with overall weighted average risk rating of 29.8%.

÷

Entity's Performance

The risk rating was weighted to determine the overall risk level of the Entity. The weighting was derived using the average weighted index as shown in Table 12 below:

| Risk category | No. No. % | No. % | | Value % | Weights | Total weighted Average | |
|------------------|-----------|-------|---------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|----------|
| | | | | | | By No. | By Value |
| High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Medium | 6 | 60 | 917,527,982 | 21.6 | 0.3 | 18 | 6.48 |
| Low | 4 | 40 | 3,315,500,041 | 78.3 | 0.1 | 4 | 7.43 |
| Satisfactory | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 10 | 100 | 4,233,028,023 | 100 | 1 | 22 | 13.91 |

Table 12. Summary of performance of the Law Development Centre

Performance by Number = <u>22x100</u> = 36.6 60

 $= 13.9 \times 100 = 23.1$ Performance by Value

$$\frac{x100}{60}$$
 -23.

The average weighted risk rating = 36.6+23.1 = 29.82

Table 13: Risk rating is as follows:

| Risk Rating | Description of Performance |
|-------------|----------------------------|
| 0-30 | Satisfactory |
| 31-70 | Moderately Satisfactory |
| 71-100 | Unsatisfactory |

| NO | REFERENCE | SUBJECT OF | METHOD OF | PROVIDER | CONTRACT | RISK |
|-----|-------------------|----------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| | NUMBER | PROCUREMENT | | and the second s | the second sector second second | RATING |
| | | | | | (UGX) | |
| 1. | LDC/SUPLS/2023- | Procurement of | Request for | Service and | 91,573,900 | Medium |
| | 24/00056 | Desktops and | Quotation | computer | | Risk |
| | | Laptops Computers | | industries | | |
| | | for staff | | (U) ltd | | - |
| 2. | LDC/WRKS/2023- | Renovation of | Restricted | Construction of the Construction | 295,160,244 | Medium |
| | 24/00019 | • | Domestic Bidding | Engineering | | Risk |
| | | Kampala Campus | | Ltd | | |
| | | (Firm rooms, main | | ~ | | |
| | | hall, library, | | | | |
| | | auditorium and | | | | |
| | | main building. | - | | | |
| 3. | LDC/SUPLS/2023- | Supply and | Request for | Sybyl Ltd | 41,709,932 | Low Risk |
| | 24/00068 | installation of | Quotation | | | |
| - | | conference system | D | D 1 | 10.000.000 | 2 (1' |
| 4. | LDC/NCONS/2023- | Fumigation | Request for | Psalms | 19,293,000 | Medium |
| | 24/00045 | Services of LDC | Quotation | cleaning | | Risk |
| - | | Campuses | | services ltd | 200 1 (1 000 | |
| 5. | LDC/SUPLS/2023- | Staff furniture | Open Domestic | Footsteps | 299,164,000 | Medium |
| | 24/00021 | | Bidding | Furniture Co. Limited | | Risk |
| 6. | LDC/SUPLS/2022- | Supply and | Restricted | Phloem | 183,006,200 | Medium |
| 0. | 23/00100 | Installation of a | and the second | Associates | 183,000,200 | Risk |
| | 23/00100 | generator for Lira | Domestic Bludnig | Ltd | | IXISK |
| | | generator for Lifa | | Liu | | |
| 7 | LDC/WRKS/2023- | Procurement of | Open Domestic | Trace Mark | 2,895,565,025 | Low Risk |
| ľ | 24/00020 | Rehabilitation of | Bidding | (U) Ltd | _,,,, | |
| | | Access Road, | 8 | (-) | | |
| | | Gardens and | | | | |
| | | Landscaping play | | | | |
| | | grounds | | | | |
| 8. | LDC/SUPLS/2023- | Supply of office | Open Domestic | Data line | 188,247,524 | Low Risk |
| | 2024/00016 | stationery for all | Bidding | Graphics | | |
| | | the campuses | - | Limited | | |
| 9. | LDC/SUPLS/2023- | Supply of toiletries | Restricted | Beels | 189,977,560 | Low Risk |
| | 2024/00073 | for all campuses | Domestic Bidding | Uganda | | 2 |
| | | | | Limited | | |
| 10. | LDC/NONCONS/2023- | Venue for | Request for | and the second second second | 29,330,638.10 | and the second sec |
| | 2024/00029 | Management | Quotation | Lodge Ltd | | Risk |
| | | Committee Retreat | | | | |

Annex A: Transaction list for FY 2023/2024

.,

1

Page **13** of **18**

| NOREFERENCE | SUBJECT | OFMETHOD | OFPROV | IDERCONTRA | CTRISK |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------|
| NUMBER | PROCUREM | IENT PROCUREN | IENT | VALUE | RATING |
| | | | | (UGX) | |
| Total | 4,233,028,023 | 3 | | | |

1

Annex B: Procurement and Disposal Unit and User Departments

List of staff in the Procurement and Disposal Unit

| No: | Name | Title |
|-----|-------------------|--|
| 1. | Ms. Harriet Aber | Senior Procurement & Disposal Officer |
| 2. | Mr. Walter Keuber | Procurement & Disposal Officer |

List of User Departments

| No: | Director's Office | |
|-----|---|--|
| 1. | Office of the Secretary | |
| 2. | Human Resource & Administration | |
| 3. | Academic Registrar | |
| 4. | Finance | |
| 5. | Law Reporting, Research & Law reform | |
| 6. | Library | |
| 7. | Post graduate Legal Studies & Legal Aid | |
| 8. | Legal Aid Clinic | |
| 9 | Law and Continuing Legal Education | |